Reranking with Multiple Features for Better Transliteration

Yan Song, Chunyu Kit, Hai Zhao

ACL 2010

Min-Hsuan Lai



Department of Computer Science & Information Engineering National Taiwan Normal University



Outline

- Introduction
- Generation
- Reranking
 - Learning Framework
 - Multiple Features
- Results
- Conclusion



Introduction

- The top-1 accuracy for the generated candidates cannot be good if the right one is not ranked at the top.
- To tackle this issue, they propose to rerank the output candidates for a better order using the averaged perceptron with multiple features.
- In this paper, they present their recent work on reranking the transliteration candidates via an online discriminative learning framework.
- Multiple features are incorporated into it for performance enhancement.



Generation

• For the generation of transliteration candidates, they follow the work (Song et al., 2009), using a phrase-based SMT procedure with the log-linear model for decoding.

$$P(t \mid s) = \frac{\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(s, t)\right]}{\sum_{t} \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(s, t)\right]} \qquad (1)$$

- The parameter for each feature function in log-linear model is optimized by MERT training (Och, 2003).
- Finally, a maximum number of 50 candidates are generated for each source name.



Reranking Learning Framework

- For reranking training and prediction, they adopt the averaged perceptron (Collins, 2002) as their learning framework, which has a more stable performance than the non-averaged version.
- Where \vec{w} is the vector of parameters they want to optimize, *x*, *y* are the corresponding source (with different syllabification) and target graphemes in the candidate list, and Φ represents the feature vector in the pair of *x* and *y*.
- In this algorithm, reference y_i^* is the most appropriate output in the candidate list according to the true target named entity in the training data.



Reranking

Learning Framework

Algorithm 1 Averaged perceptron training **Input**: Candidate list with reference $\{LIST(x_j, y_j)_{j=1}^n, y_i^*\}_{i=1}^N$ **Output**: Averaged parameters 1: $\vec{\omega} \leftarrow 0, \vec{\omega}_a \leftarrow 0, c \leftarrow 1$ 2: for t = 1 to T do for i = 1 to N do 3: $\hat{y}_i \leftarrow argmax_{y \in LIST(x_i, y_i)} \vec{\omega} \cdot \Phi(x_i, y_i)$ 4: 5: **if** $\hat{y}_i \neq y_i^*$ then $\vec{\omega} \leftarrow \vec{\omega} + \Phi(x_i^*, y_i^*) - \Phi(\hat{x}_i, \hat{y}_i)$ 6: $\vec{\omega}_a \leftarrow \vec{\omega}_a + c \cdot \{\Phi(x_i^*, y_i^*) - \Phi(\hat{x}_i, \hat{y}_i)\}$ 7: end if 8: $c \leftarrow c+1$ 9: end for 10: 11: end for 12: return $\vec{\omega} - \vec{\omega}_a/c$



- The following features are used in their reranking process:
 - Transliteration correspondence feature, $f(s_i, t_i)$;
 - This feature describes the mapping between source and target graphemes, similar to the transliteration options in the phrase table in their previous generation process.
 - Source grapheme chain feature, $f(s_{i-1}^i)$;
 - These features on different source grapheme levels can help the system to achieve a more reliable syllabification result from the candidates. They only consider bi-grams when using this feature.
 - Target grapheme chain feature, $f(t_{i-2}^i)$;
 - It performs in a similar way as the language model for SMT decoding. They use *tri*-gram syllables in this learning framework.



Reranking Multiple Features

- Paired source-to-target transition feature, $f(\langle s,t \rangle_{i-1}^{i})$;
 - This type of feature is firstly proposed in (Li et al., 2004), aiming at generating source and target graphemes simultaneously under a suitable constraint.
- Hidden Markov model (HMM) style features;
 - There are a group of features with HMM style constraint for evaluating the candidates generated in previous SMT process,
- Target grapheme position feature, $f(t_i, p)$;
 - This feature is used to exploit the observation that some characters are more likely to appear at certain positions in the target name.
- Target tone feature;
 - This feature is only applied to the transliteration task with Chinese as the target language.



Reranking Multiple Features

- Besides the above string features, they also have some numeric features, as listed below
 - Transliteration score;
 - This score is the joint probabilities of all transliteration options, included in the output candidates generated by our decoder.
 - Target language model score;
 - > This score is calculated from the probabilistic tri-gram language model.
 - Source/target Pinyin feature;
 - It measures how good the output candidates can be in terms of the comparison between English text and Pinyin representation.

Reranking Multiple Features

- For a task with English as the target language, they add the following two additional features into the learning framework.
 - Vowel feature;
 - This feature is thus used to punish those outputs unqualified to be a valid English word for carrying no vowel.
 - Syllable consistent feature;
 - This feature measures whether an English target name generated in the previous step has the same number of syllables as the source name.

- For NEWS2010, they participated in all two Chinese related transliteration tasks, namely, EnCh (English-to-Chinese) and ChEn (Chineseto-English back transliteration).
- The official evaluation scores for our submissions are presented in Table 1 with recall rate, and the ACC score (ACC_{SMT}) for original SMT outputs.

ACC ACC_{SMT} Task Source Target Mean F MRR Map_ref Recall English Chinese 0.477 0.7400.5060.455 0.5610.381EnCh ChEn Chinese English 0.227 0.7490.2690.2260.3710.152

Table 1: Evaluation results for our NEWS2010 task.



- It NEWS2010 results show that this approach is effective and promising, in the sense that it ranks the best in EnCh and ChEn tasks.
- Though, those features are strongly dependent on the nature of English and Chinese languages, it is thus not an easy task to transplant this model for other language pairs.
- It is an interesting job to turn it into a language independent model that can be applied to other languages.

