

Hidden Markov Models for Speech Recognition

Berlin ChenDepartment of Computer Science & Information Engineering National Taiwan Normal University

References:

- 1. Rabiner and Juang. *Fundamentals of Speech Recognition*. Chapter 6
- 2. Huang et. al. *Spoken Language Processing*. Chapters 4, 8
- 3. Rabiner. *A Tutorial on Hidden Markov Models and Selected Applications in Speech Recognition*. Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 77, No. 2, February 1989
- 4. Gales and Young. *The Application of Hidden Markov Models in Speech Recognition*, Chapters 1-2, 2008
- 5. Young. *HMMs and Related Speech Recognition Technologies*. Chapter 27, Springer Handbook of Speech Processing, Springer, 2007
- 6. J.A. Bilmes , *A Gentle Tutorial of the EM Algorithm and its Application to Parameter Estimation for Gaussian Mixture and Hidden Markov Models*, U.C. Berkeley TR-97-021

Hidden Markov Model (HMM): A Brief Overview

History

- Published in papers of Baum in late 1960s and early 1970s
- Introduced to speech processing by Baker (CMU) and Jelinek (IBM) in the 1970s (discrete HMMs)
- Then extended to continuous HMMs by Bell Labs

Assumptions

- Speech signal can be characterized as a parametric random (stochastic) process
- Parameters can be estimated in a precise, well-defined manner

Three fundamental problems

- Evaluation of probability (likelihood) of a sequence of observations given a specific HMM
- Determination of a best sequence of model states
- Adjustment of model parameters so as to best account for observed signals (or discrimination purposes)

Stochastic Process

- A stochastic process is a mathematical model of a probabilistic experiment that evolves in time and generates a sequence of numeric values
	- Each numeric value in the sequence is modeled by a random variable
	- A stochastic process is just a (finite/infinite) sequence of random variables
- Examples
	- (a) The sequence of recorded values of a speech utterance
	- (b) The sequence of daily prices of a stock
	- (c) The sequence of hourly traffic loads at a node of a communication network
	- (d) The sequence of radar measurements of the position of an airplane

Observable Markov Model

- \bullet Observable Markov Model (Markov Chain)
	- **First-order** Markov chain of *N* states is a triple (*S,A,*)
		- *S* is a set of *N* states
		- A is the $N \times N$ matrix of transition probabilities between states $P(\text{S}_t\text{=}j|\text{S}_{t\text{-}j}\text{=}i,\ \text{S}_{t\text{-}2}\text{=}k,\ \ldots\ldots) \thickapprox P(\text{S}_t\text{=}j|\text{S}_{t\text{-}j}\text{=}i) \thickapprox A_{ij}$ First-order and time-invariant assumptions
		- π is the vector of initial state probabilities π _{*j*} = *P*(*s*₁=*j*)
	- The output of the process is the set of states at each instant of time, when each state corresponds to an observable event
	- The output in any given state is not random (*deterministic!*)
	- Too simple to describe the speech signal characteristics

Fig. 1. A Markov chain with 5 states (labeled S_1 to S_5) with selected state transitions.

First-order Markov chain of *2* states

- Example 1: A 3-state Markov Chain λ State 1 generates symbol A **only**, State 2 generates symbol B **only**, and State 3 generates symbol C **only** $\pi = [0.4 \ 0.5 \ 0.1]$ 0.3 0.2 0.5 0.1 0.7 0.2 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix}\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5\ \end{bmatrix}$ ${\bf A} =$ s2s3**ABC**0.60.70.30.10.20.20.10.3 0.5 ${\sf S}_1$
	- Given a sequence of observed symbols *O*={CABBCABC}, the **only** $\bm{\delta}$ corresponding state sequence is $\{S_3S_1S_2S_2S_3S_1S_2S_3\}$, and the corresponding probability is

P(*O***|**) =P(S₃)P(S₁|S₃)P(S₂|S₁)P(S₂|S₂)P(S₃|S₂)P(S₁|S₃)P(S₂|S₁)P(S₃|S₂) =0.1 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2=0.00002268

 \bullet Example 2: A three-state Markov chain for the *Dow Jones Industrial average*

Figure 8.1 A Markov chain for the Dow Jones Industrial average. Three states represent up , down, and unchanged, respectively.

The parameter for this Dow Jones Markov chain may include a state-transition probability matrix

and an initial state probability matrix

• Example 3: Given a Markov model, what is the mean occupancy duration of each state *i*

 $P_i(d)$ = probability mass function of duration d in state *i*

 $(a_{ii})^{d-1}(1-a_{ii})$ *d* a_{ii}) $(1 - a)$ $=(a_{ii})^{a-1}$ (1 Expected number of duration in a state $\sigma^{1}(1-a_{_{ii}})$ a geometric distribution

Time (Duration)

Hidden Markov Model

(a) Illustration of a two-layered random process. (b) An HMM model of the process in (a).

- • HMM, an extended version of Observable Markov Model
	- –The observation is turned to be a probabilistic function (discrete or continuous) of a state instead of an one-to-one correspondence of a state
	- – The model is a doubly embedded stochastic process with an underlying stochastic process that is not directly observable (hidden)
		- What is hidden? *The State Sequence! According to the observation sequence, we are not sure which state sequence generates it!*
- \bullet Elements of an HMM (the State-Output HMM) $\lambda = \{S, A, B, \pi\}$
	- –*S* is a set of *N* states
	- $-$ **A** is the N \times N matrix of transition probabilities between states
	- *B* is a set of *N* probability functions, each describing the observation probability with respect to a state
	- π is the vector of initial state probabilities

- Two major assumptions
	- First order (Markov) assumption
		- The state transition depends only on the origin and destination
		- Time-invariant

$$
P(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i) = P(s_{\tau} = j | s_{\tau-1} = i) = P(j | i) = A_{i,j}
$$

- Output-independent assumption
	- All observations are dependent on the state that generated them, not on neighboring observations

$$
P(\mathbf{o}_t|s_t,\ldots,\mathbf{o}_{t-2},\mathbf{o}_{t-1},\mathbf{o}_{t+1},\mathbf{o}_{t+2}\ldots)=P(\mathbf{o}_t|s_t)
$$

- Two major types of HMMs according to the observations
	- **Discrete and finite observations:**
		- \bullet The observations that all distinct states generate are finite in number

V={ *^v1, v2, v3, ……, ^vM*}, *^vk R^L*

• In this case, the set of observation probability distributions *B*={ *bj* (*^v*k)}, is defined as *bj* (*^v*k)= *P*(**o***t =***v**_k|s_t=j), 1≤*k*≤*M,* 1≤*j*≤*N* **o**_{*t*}: *observation at time t, s_t: <i>state at time t for state j, bj* (*^v*k) consists of *only M probability values*

- Two major types of HMMs according to the observations
	- **Continuous and infinite observations:**
		- The observations that all distinct states generate are infinite and continuous, that is, *V*={*v| v R^d*}
		- In this case, the set of observation probability distributions *B*={ *bj* (**^v**)}, is defined as *bj* (*^v*)= *^f^O*|*^S* (*ot =v|s_t=j), 1≤j*≤*N* \Rightarrow b_{j} (v) is a continuous probability density function (pdf) *and is often a mixture of Multivariate Gaussian* **(***Normal***)** *Distributions*

$$
b_{j}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} w_{jk} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d_{j}}{2}} |\Sigma_{jk}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\mu}_{jk})^{t} \Sigma_{jk}^{-1} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\mu}_{jk}) \right) \right)
$$

Mixture Covariance
Weight Matrix
Observation Vector

- Multivariate Gaussian Distributions
	- $-$ When $\bm{X} = (\bm{x}_1, \, \bm{x}_2, \ldots, \, \bm{x}_d)$ is a *d*-dimensional random vector, the multivariate Gaussian pdf has the form:

$$
f(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{\mu}, \Sigma) = N(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\mu}, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu})^t \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu})\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{\mu}$ is the *L*-dimensional mean vector, $\mathbf{\mu} = E[\mathbf{x}]$
 Σ is the coverage matrix, $\Sigma = E[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu})^t] = E[\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^t] - \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{\mu}^t$
and $|\Sigma|$ is the determinant of Σ
The *i*-*j*th element σ_{ij} of Σ , $\sigma_{ij} = E[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)] = E[x_i x_j] - \mu_i \mu_j$

- $-$ If $x_1, x_2,..., x_d$ are independent, the covariance matrix is reduced to diagonal covariance
	- Viewed as *d* independent scalar Gaussian distributions
	- Model complexity is significantly reduced

•Multivariate Gaussian Distributions

Figure 3.12 A two-dimensional multivariate Gaussian distribution with independent random variables x_1 and x_2 that have the same variance.

Figure 3.13 Another two-dimensional multivariate Gaussian distribution with independent random variable x_1 and x_2 which have different variances.

 10

• Covariance matrix of the correlated feature vectors (Mel-frequency filter bank outputs)

- Covariance matrix of the partially de-correlated feature vectors (MFCC without C_0)
	- MFCC: Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients

- \bullet Multivariate Mixture Gaussian Distributions (cont.)
	- More complex distributions with multiple local maxima can be approximated by Gaussian (a unimodal distribution) mixture

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} w_k N_k(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k), \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{M} w_k = 1
$$

 Gaussian mixtures with enough mixture components can approximate any distribution

- Example 4: a 3-state discrete HMM λ S_2 ${\sf S}_1$ S_3 **{A:**.3**,B:**.2**,C:**.5 **} {A:**.7**,B:**.1**,C:**.2**} {A:**.3**,B:**.6**,C:**.1 **}** 0.6 0.7 0.3 $0.1\,$ 0.20.2 0.10.3 0.5 0.7, 0.1, 0.2 222 **A B C** *b b b* $b_3(A) = 0.3$, $b_3(B) = 0.6$, $b_3(C) = 0.1$ $\pi = [0.4 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.1]$ $b_1(A) = 0.3, b_1(B) = 0.2, b_1(C) = 0.5$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ 0.1 0.7 0.2 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\overline{}$ $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$ **Ergodic HMM**
	- Given a sequence of observations *O=*{*ABC*}, there are **27 possible** corresponding state sequences, and therefore the corresponding probability is

$$
P(\mathbf{O}|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{27} P(\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{S}_i|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{27} P(\mathbf{O}|\mathbf{S}_i, \lambda) P(\mathbf{S}_i|\lambda), \quad \mathbf{S}_i \text{ is state sequence}
$$

\n*E.g.* when $\mathbf{S}_i = \{s_2 s_2 s_3\}, P(\mathbf{O}|\mathbf{S}_i, \lambda) = P(A|s_2) P(\mathbf{B}|s_2) P(\mathbf{C}|s_3) = 0.7 * 0.1 * 0.1 = 0.007$
\n
$$
P(\mathbf{S}_i|\lambda) = P(s_2) P(s_2|s_2) P(s_3|s_2) = 0.5 * 0.7 * 0.2 = 0.07
$$

- Notations:
	- *O***={** *o 1o2o 3……^o ^T***}:** the observation (feature) sequence
	- *S***= {** *s1s2s 3……^s ^T***} :** the state sequence
	- λ: model, for HMM, *λ*={*A,B,π***}**
	- $P(\mathbf{O}|\lambda)$: The probability of observing \boldsymbol{O} given the model λ
	- $P(\bm{O}|\bm{\mathsf{S}},\lambda)$: The probability of observing \bm{O} given λ and a state sequence *S* of
	- *P*(*O,S|*) : The probability of observing *O* and *S* given
	- *P*(*S|O,*) : The probability of observing *S* given *O* and
- Useful formulas
	- Bayes' Rule :

$$
P(A|B) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)} \implies P(A|B,\lambda) = \frac{P(A,B|\lambda)}{P(B|\lambda)} = \frac{P(B|A,\lambda)P(A|\lambda)}{P(B|\lambda)}
$$

$$
\lambda \text{ : model describing the probability}
$$

 $P(A,B) = P(B|A)P(A) = P(A|B)P(B)$ chain rule

- Useful formulas (Cont.):
	- –Total Probability Theorem

 $\big(A\big)$ $(A, B) = \sum P(A|B)P(B)$ $\left(\int_B f(A, B) dB = \int_B f(A|B)f(B)\right)$ ا $\bigg\{$ \int Ξ $=$ $=\int_{B}^{a} f(A,B)dB = \int_{A}^{a}$ $\sum P(A,B)=\sum$ B° \qquad \q *all B all B* $f(A, B)dB = \int_{A} f(A|B) f(B)dB$, if B $P(A, B) = \sum P(A|B)P(B)$, if B $P(A) = \begin{cases}$ all B
 $\int_A^a f(A, B) dB = \int_A^a f(A|B) f(B) dB, & \text{if } B \text{ is continuous} \end{cases}$ marginal probability $\sum P(A,B) = \sum P(A|B)P(B)$, if B is disrete and disjoint

if
$$
x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
$$
 are independent,
\n $\Rightarrow P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1)P(x_2) \dots P(x_n)$

Venn Diagram

$$
E_z[q(z)] = \begin{cases} \sum_k P(z=k)q(k), & z : \text{discrete} \\ \int_z^k f_z(z)q(z)dz, & z : \text{continuous} \end{cases}
$$

Expectation

Three Basic Problems for HMM

- \bullet Given an observation sequence $O = (o_1, o_2, \ldots, o_T)$, and an HMM λ = $(\mathsf{S},\!mathsf{A},\!mathsf{B},\pi)$
	- **Problem** *1*:

How to *efficiently* compute *P*(*O|*) ?

Evaluation problem

– **Problem** *2***:**

How to choose an optimal state sequence $\mathbf{S}\!\!=\!\!(s_{1\!},\!s_{2\!},\ldots\!.,s_{T\!})$?

Decoding Problem

– **Problem** *3***:**

How to adjust the model parameter $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$ to maximize $P(\boldsymbol{O}|\lambda)?$ *Learning / Training Problem*

Given $\,$ O and $\lambda,$ find $P(\,$ O $\!/\lambda)$ *=* Prob[observing *O* given]

- Direct Evaluation
	- – Evaluating all possible state sequences of length *T* that generating observation sequence *O*

$$
P\left(\boldsymbol{O} \mid \lambda\right) = \sum_{all \ s} P\left(\boldsymbol{O} \ ,\ S \mid \lambda\right) = \sum_{all \ s} P\left(\boldsymbol{O} \mid \mathbf{S} \ ,\ \lambda\right) P\left(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \lambda\right)
$$

- – $P\left(\boldsymbol{S}\left|\lambda\right.\right)$: The probability of each path \boldsymbol{S}
	- By Markov assumption (First-order HMM)

$$
P(\mathbf{S}|\lambda) = P(s_1|\lambda) \prod_{t=2}^{T} P(s_t|s_1^{t-1}, \lambda)
$$
 By chain rule
\n
$$
\approx P(s_1|\lambda) \prod_{t=2}^{T} P(s_t|s_{t-1}, \lambda)
$$

\n
$$
= \pi_{s_1} a_{s_1 s_2} a_{s_2 s_3} ... a_{s_{T-1} s_T}
$$
 By Markov assumption

- \bullet Direct Evaluation (cont.)
	- $P\left(\boldsymbol{O}\left|\boldsymbol{S}\right.,\lambda\right.)$: The joint output probability along the path \boldsymbol{S}
		- By output-independent assumption
			- The probability that a particular observation symbol/vector is emitted at time t depends only on the state \mathbf{s}_t and is conditionally independent of the past observations

$$
P\left(\boldsymbol{O} \mid \mathbf{S}, \lambda\right) = P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{1}^{T} \mid s_{1}^{T}, \lambda\right)
$$

= $P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{1} \mid s_{1}^{T}, \lambda\right) \prod_{t=2}^{T} P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{o}_{1}^{t-1}, s_{1}^{T}, \lambda\right)$

$$
\approx \prod_{t=1}^{T} P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{t} \mid s_{t}, \lambda\right)
$$
By output-independent assumption

$$
= \prod_{t=1}^{T} b_{s_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{t}\right)
$$

- Direct Evaluation (Cont.) $P\big(\bm{O}\big|\lambda\big)$ = $\sum P\big(\bm{S}\big|\lambda\big)P\big(\bm{O}\big|\bm{S},\lambda\big)$ $\left(\!\!\left[\pi_{_{S_1}} a_{_{S_1S_2}} a_{_{S_2S_3}} a_{_{S_{T-1}S_T}} \right]\!\!\right] \!\!\left[b_{_{S_1}}\!\left(\textbf{\textit{o}}_1 \right)\!\!b_{_{S_2}}\!\left(\textbf{\textit{o}}_2 \right) \!\!\dots\!, b_{_{S_T}}\!\left(\textbf{\textit{o}}_T \right) \!\!\right) \!\!\right)$ $\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{1}}b_{s_{1}}\left(\bm{o}_{1}\right)a_{s_{1}s_{2}}b_{s_{2}}\left(\bm{o}_{2}\right)$ $a_{s_{T-1}s_{T}}b_{s_{T}}\left(\bm{o}_{T}\right)$ *s*₁,*s*₂,..,*s*_{*T*} *all* s_1 **a** s_1 s_2 **a** s_3 **.....** a s_{T-1} s_T $\underline{\mathbf{I}}$ **b** s_1 **(b** T) b s_2 **(b** T) s_1 **(b** T *all* $\sum x_{s_{1}}b_{s_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{1}\right)\!\! a_{s_{1}s_{2}}b_{s_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{2}\right)\!\! \ldots\!\! .a_{s_{T-1}s_{T}}b_{s_{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{T}\right)$ $\sum \big([\pi_{_{S_1}} a_{_{S_1S_2}} a_{_{S_2S_3}} a_{_{S_{T-1}S_T}} \big] \! \big| \! b_{_{S_1}} \big(\bm{o}_1 \big) \! b_{_{S_2}} \big(\bm{o}_2 \big) \! \dots \! . \allowbreak b_{_{S_T}} \big(\bm{o}_1 \big)$ *sS* $=$ λ π $=$ λ π λ) = $\sum P(S|\lambda)P(O|S, \lambda)$ $P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_t | s_t, \lambda\right) = b_{s_t} \left(\boldsymbol{o}_t\right)$
	- Huge Computation Requirements: $O(N^7)$
		- Exponential computational complexity

 $\mathcal{L}\left(2T\text{-}I\right)N^{\mathrm{\mathit{T}}}$ $MUL \quad \approx 2\,TN^{\mathrm{\mathit{T}}}$, $N^{\mathrm{\mathit{T}}}$ - I ADD $\boldsymbol{Complexity}$ $\hspace{0.2cm}:\hspace{0.2cm} (2\textit{T-1}\textit{)}\textit{N}^{\textit{T}}\textit{ MUL}\hspace{0.2cm} \approx \textit{2}\textit{T}\textit{N}^{\textit{T}},\textit{N}^{\textit{T}}$

• A more efficient algorithms can be used to evaluate $\ P(\bm{O}|\lambda)$

Forward/Backward Procedure/Algorithm

 \bullet Direct Evaluation (Cont.)

 s_2

 $\mathtt{s}_\mathtt{1}$

 \mathtt{s}_3

Basic Problem 1 of HMM- The Forward Procedure

- Base on the HMM assumptions, the calculation of $P\left(s_{|t|} | s_{|t-1}, \lambda\right)$ and $P\left(\boldsymbol{o}_{|t|} | s_{|t}, \lambda\right)$ involves only $s_{|t-1|}$, $s_{|t|}$ and $\left\| \bm{o}_t \right\|$, so it is possible to compute the likelihood with recursion on *t*
- Forward variable : $\alpha_{i}(i) = P(o_{i}o_{i}...o_{i}, s_{i} = i | \lambda)$
	- The probability that the HMM is in state *i* at time *t* having generating partial observation *o1o2… ot*

Basic Problem 1 of HMM-The Forward Procedure (cont.)

• Algorithm

 $(i) = \pi_i b_i(o_1)$ **i** . Initialization $\alpha_1(i) = \pi_i b_i(\boldsymbol{o}_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$

2. Induction
$$
\alpha_{t+1}(j) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(i) a_{ij} \right] b_{j} (o_{t+1}), \ 1 \le t \le T-1, 1 \le j \le N
$$

3. Termination
$$
P(\boldsymbol{O}|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} (i)
$$

– Complexity: *O* (*N2 T*)

 $MUL : N (N+1)(T-1) + N \approx N^2 T$ $(N-1)N(T-1) + (N-1) \approx N^{-2}T$ ADD : $(N-1)N(T-1)+(N-1) \approx N^2$

- • Based on the lattice (trellis) structure
	- Computed in a *time-synchronous* fashion from *left-to-right*, where each cell for time *t* is completely computed before proceeding to time *t+1*
- All state sequences, regardless how long previously, merge to *N* nodes (states) at each time instance *t*

Basic Problem 1 of HMM -The Forward Procedure (cont.)

$$
\alpha_{t}(j) = P(o_{1}o_{2}...o_{t}, s_{t} = j | \lambda) \qquad \text{output} \qquad \text{output} \qquad \text{output} \qquad \text{output} \qquad \text{independent} \qquad \text{in} \qquad \text{
$$

Basic Problem 1 of HMMThe Forward Procedure (cont.)

 \bullet A three-state Hidden Markov Model for the *Dow Jones Industrial average*

-

Basic Problem 1 of HMM- The Backward Procedure

 \bullet Backward variable : $\beta_t(i) = P(\mathbf{o}_{t+1}, \mathbf{o}_{t+2}, \ldots, \mathbf{o}_{T}|s_t=i, \lambda)$

1. Initialization : $\beta_{\rm T}(i)$ = 1, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *N* $\hat{I}(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{ii} b_i (\mathbf{o}_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j), 1 \le t \le T-1, 1 \le i \le N$ $P(\boldsymbol{O}|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_i b_i(\boldsymbol{o}_1) \beta_1(j)$ $N^2(T-1) + 2N \approx N^2T$ $(N-1)N(T-1) + N \approx N^2T$ *N j j j N* $\mathcal{L}^{t}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_{ij} \mathcal{U}_j(\mathbf{v}_{t+1}) \mathcal{V}_t$ ADD: $(N-1)N(T-1) + N \approx N^2$ Complexity MUL: $2N^2(T-1) + 2N \approx N^2T$; 13. Termination : $P(\boldsymbol{O}|\lambda) = \sum \pi_j b_j(o_1) \beta_1$ 12. Induction: $\beta_t(i) = \sum a_{ij} b_j (o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j)$, $1 \le t \le T-1, 1 \le i \le T-1$ $\,$ $\,$ \equiv $\beta_t(i) = \sum a_{ij} b_j(o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}$ $O(\lambda) = \sum \pi_i b_i(o_1) \beta_1$

Basic Problem 1 of HMM-Backward Procedure (cont.)

• Why
$$
P(\boldsymbol{O}, s_t = i | \lambda) = \alpha_t(i) \beta_t(i)
$$
 ?

 $=$ 1

$$
\alpha_{i}(i) \beta_{i}(i)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1}, o_{2},..., o_{t}, s_{t} = i | \lambda) \cdot P(o_{t+1}, o_{t+2},..., o_{T}|s_{t} = i, \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1}, o_{2},..., o_{t}|s_{t} = i, \lambda) P(s_{t} = i | \lambda) P(o_{t+1}, o_{t+2},..., o_{T}|s_{t} = i, \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1},..., o_{t},..., o_{T}|s_{t} = i, \lambda) P(s_{t} = i | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1},..., o_{t},..., o_{T}, s_{t} = i | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1},..., o_{t},..., o_{T}, s_{t} = i | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1},..., o_{t},..., o_{T}, s_{t} = i | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1}, s_{t} = i | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(o_{1}, s_{t} = i | \lambda) \cdot P(o_{t} = i | \lambda) \cdot P(o_{
$$

Basic Problem 2 of HMM

How to choose an optimal state sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_7; ?$

• The first optimal criterion: Choose the states s_t are *individually* **most likely at each time** *t*

Define a posteriori probability variable $\gamma_{_t}(i)$ = $P\big(\!\! s_{_t}=i|\boldsymbol{O},\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)$

$$
\gamma_t(i) = \frac{P(s_t = i, O | \lambda)}{P(O | \lambda)} = \frac{P(s_t = i, O | \lambda)}{\sum_{m=1}^{N} P(s_t = m, O | \lambda)} = \frac{\alpha_t(i) \beta_t(i)}{\sum_{m=1}^{N} \alpha_t(m) \beta_t(m)}
$$

state occupation probability (count) – ^a soft alignment of HMM state to the observation (feature)

- $-$ Solution : s_t^* = arg_i max [$\gamma_t(i)$], 1 $\le t \le T$
	- Problem: maximizing the probability at each time *t* individually \mathbf{S}^* = s₁^{*}s₂^{*}…s_T *** may not be a valid sequence (e.g. *a st*st+1* = 0*)

• $P(s_3 = 3, O / \lambda) = \alpha_3(3)^* \beta_3(3)$

Basic Problem 2 of HMM-The Viterbi Algorithm

- The second optimal criterion: The Viterbi algorithm can be regarded as the dynamic programming algorithm applied to the HMM or as a modified forward algorithm
	- – Instead of summing up probabilities from different paths coming to the same destination state, the Viterbi algorithm picks and remembers the best path
		- Find a single optimal state sequence $\mathbf{S}\!\!=\!\!(s_1\!,\!s_2\!,\!......s_T\!)$
			- – How to find the second, third, etc., optimal state sequences (difficult ?)
	- – The Viterbi algorithm also can be illustrated in a trellis framework similar to the one for the forward algorithm
		- State-time trellis diagram

Basic Problem 2 of HMM-The Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

•Algorithm

 $\left(s^{}_{1},\!s^{}_{2},\! . . ,s^{}_{T} \right)$ observation $\boldsymbol{O} = (\boldsymbol{o}_1, \boldsymbol{o}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{o}_T)$? Find a best state sequence $\boldsymbol{S}{=}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_T$) for a given

Definea new variable

$$
\delta_t(i) = \max_{s_1, s_2, ..., s_{t-1}} P[s_1, s_2, ..., s_{t-1}, s_t = i, o_1, o_2, ..., o_t | \lambda]
$$

for the first *t* observation and ends in state *i* $t =$ the best score along a single path at time t, which accounts

 \therefore $\delta_{t+1}(j) = \left[\max_{1 \le i \le N} \delta_t(i) a_{ij}\right] b_j(o_{t+1})$ $a_{t+1}(j) = \arg\max_{1 \le i \le N} \delta_i(i)a_{ij}$ $s_T^* = \arg\max_{1 \le i \le N} \delta_T(i)$ \sum_{I}^{*} = arg ma $=$ arg \prod_{1} $_{1}(J)$ = $\arg \frac{1}{l}$ We can backtrace from $s_T^* = \arg \max$ $\psi_{t+1}(j)$ = arg max $\delta_t(i) a_{ii}$ For backtracing By induction \therefore $\delta_{i+1}(j)$ = $\left| \max \delta_i(i) a_{ii} \right| b_i(o)$

– Complexity: *O* (*N2 T*)

Basic Problem 2 of HMM-The Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

• A three-state Hidden Markov Model for the *Dow Jones Industrial average*

Figure 8.5 The Viterbi trellis computation for the HMM of the Dow Jones Industrial average.

Basic Problem 2 of HMM-The Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

• Algorithm in the logarithmic form

 $\left(s^{}_{1}, s^{}_{2},..,s^{}_{T} \right)$ observation $\boldsymbol{O} = (\boldsymbol{o}_1, \boldsymbol{o}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{o}_T)$? Find a best state sequence $\boldsymbol{S}{=}(s_1, s_2, .., s_T$) for a given

Define a new variable

$$
\delta_t(i) = \max_{s_1, s_2, ..., s_{t-1}} \log P[s_1, s_2, ..., s_{t-1}, s_t = i, o_1, o_2, ..., o_t | \lambda]
$$

for the first t observation and ends in state *i* $=$ the best score along a single path at time t , which accounts

By induction:
$$
\delta_{t+1}(j) = \left[\max_{1 \le i \le N} (\delta_t(i) + \log a_{ij})\right] + \log b_j(o_{t+1})
$$

\n $\psi_{t+1}(j) = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le N} (\delta_t(i) + \log a_{ij})$ For backtracing
\nWe can backtrack to $s_T^* = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le N} \delta_T(i)$

Exercise

• A three-state Hidden Markov Model for the *Dow Jones Industrial average*

Figure 8.2 A hidden Markov model for the Dow Jones Industrial average. The three states no longer have deterministic meanings as the Markov chain illustrated in Figure 8.1.

Find the probability:

P(*up, up, unchanged, down, unchanged, down, up|*)

 Fnd the optimal state sequence of the model which generates the observation sequence: (*up, up, unchanged, down, unchanged, down, up*)

Probability Addition in F-B Algorithm

• In Forward-backward algorithm, operations usually implemented in logarithmic domain *P*1

• Assume that we want to add $\,$ $P_{1} \,$ and $\, P_{2} \,$

if
$$
P_1 \ge P_2
$$

\n
$$
\log_b (P_1 + P_2) = \log P_1 + \log_b (1 + b^{\log_b P_2 - \log_b P_1})
$$
\nelse
\n
$$
\log_b (P_1 + P_2) = \log P_2 + \log_b (1 + b^{\log_b P_1 - \log_b P_2})
$$

The values of $\log_b\left(1+b^x\right)$ can be saved in in a table to speedup the operations

Probability Addition in F-B Algorithm (cont.)

\bullet An example code

```
#define LZERO (-1.0E10) // ~log(0) 
#define LSMALL (-0.5E10) // log values < LSMALL are set to LZERO
#define minLogExp -log(-LZERO) // ~=-23
double LogAdd(double x, double y)
{
double temp,diff,z; 
  if (x<y)
 {
   temp = x; x = y; y = temp;
 }
 diff = v-x; //notice that diff \leq 0if (diff<minLogExp) // if y' is far smaller than x'
    return (x<LSMALL) ? LZERO:x;
 else{
   z = exp(diff);
    return x+log(1.0+z);
 }
}
```
Basic Problem 3 of HMMIntuitive View

- \bullet How to adjust (re-estimate) the model parameter $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$ to maximize *P*(*O***¹***,…, OL |*) or log *P*(*O***¹***,…, OL |*)?
	- –Belonging to a typical problem of "inferential statistics "
	- – The most difficult of the three problems, because there is no known analytical method that maximizes the joint probability of the training data in a close form *L*

$$
\log P(\mathbf{O}_1, \mathbf{O}_2, ..., \mathbf{O}_L | \lambda) = \log \prod_{l=1}^{L} P(\mathbf{O}_l | \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{l=1}^{L} \log P(\mathbf{O}_l | \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^{R} \log \sum_{all \ S} P(\mathbf{S} | \lambda) P(\mathbf{O}_l | \mathbf{S}, \lambda)
$$
\nThe "log of sum" form is difficult to deal with

-Suppose that we have L training utterances for the HMM

- **S** : a possible state sequence of the HMM

- –The data is incomplete because of the hidden state sequences
- Well-solved by the *Baum-Welch* (known as *forward-backward*) algorithm and *EM* (*Expectation-Maximization*) algorithm
	- Iterative update and improvement
	- •Based on Maximum Likelihood (ML) criterion

Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation: A Schematic Depiction (1/2)

- • Hard Assignment
	- Given the data follow a multinomial distribution

Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation: A Schematic Depiction (1/2)

- Soft Assignment
	- Given the data follow a multinomial distribution
	- Maximize the likelihood of the data given the alignment

•Relationship between the forward and backward variables

•Define a new variable:

$$
\xi_t(i,j) = P(s_t = i, s_{t+1} = j | \boldsymbol{O}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})
$$

– Probability being at state *i* at time *t* and at state *j* at time *t+1*

$$
\xi_{t}(i, j) = \frac{P(s_{t} = i, s_{t+1} = j, O | \lambda)}{P(O | \lambda)} = \frac{\alpha_{t}(i) a_{ij} b_{j}(o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j)}{P(O | \lambda)} = \frac{\alpha_{t}(i) a_{ij} b_{j}(o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(j)}{\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(m) a_{mn} b_{n}(o_{t+1}) \beta_{t+1}(n)}
$$

 \bullet Recall the posteriori probability variable:

$$
\gamma_{t}(i) = P(s_{t} = i | \mathbf{O}, \lambda) \qquad \text{Note: } \gamma_{t}(i) \text{ also can be represent d as } \frac{\alpha_{t}(i) \beta_{t}(i)}{\sum_{m=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(m) \beta_{t}(m)}
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_{t}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} P(s_{t} = i, s_{t+1} = j | \mathbf{O}, \lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{t}(i, j) \qquad (\text{for } t < T) \qquad \text{SP-Berlin Chen 48}
$$

• $P(s_3 = 3, s_4 = 1, 0 \mid \lambda) = \alpha_3(3)^* a_{31}$ * $^{*}b_{1}(\circ_{4})^{*}\beta_{1}(4)$

• $\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\mathcal{E}_t\big(i,j\big)$ = $=$ $\int_{c}^{1} \xi_i(i, j)$ = expected number of transitions from state *i* to state *j* in 1*T t* $\xi_i(i, j)$ = expected number of transitions from state *i* to state *j* in **O** $\xi_t(i, j) = P(s_t = i, s_{t+1} = j | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \lambda)$

•
$$
\gamma_t(i) = P(s_t = i | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \lambda)
$$

 $\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \gamma_{t}(i) = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \xi_{t}(i, j)$ – -= $=$ > ζ (1, 1) $=$ 1 11 1 $i=1$, j) = expected number of transitions from state i in *T tT tN j* $\gamma_t(i) = \sum_i \sum_i \xi_i(i, j)$ = expected number of transitions from state *i* in *O*

• A set of reasonable re-estimation formula for $\{A,\pi\}$ is

 $=\gamma_1(i)$ $\bar{\pi}_i$ = expected freqency (number of times) in state *i* at time $t = 1$

i \overline{a}_{ij} = $\frac{\text{expected number of transition n from state } i \text{ to state } j}{\frac{1}{T-1}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} j}{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} j}$ *ij* $=$ $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ expected number of transitio n from state i expected number of transitio n from state i to state

Formulae for Single Training Utterance

 (i,j)

 $\gamma_{t}(i)$

 $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t$

Σ

 $\sum_{t=1}$ ^t

T- 1

Σ

ξ i,j

- A set of reasonable re-estimation formula for { *B*} is
	- For discrete and finite observation *bj (^vk)=P(^ot = ^vk|^st=j)*

$$
\overline{b}_j(\mathbf{v}_k) = \overline{P}(\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{v}_k | s = j) = \frac{\text{expected number of times in state } j \text{ and observing symbol } \mathbf{v}_k}{\text{expected number of times in state } j} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j)}
$$

– For continuous and infinite observation *bj (^v)=fO|^S (^ot = ^v|^st=j),*

$$
\overline{b}_j(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k=1}^M \overline{c}_{jk} N(\mathbf{v}; \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jk}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{jk}) = \sum_{k=1}^M \overline{c}_{jk} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{2\pi} \right)^k |\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{jk}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jk})^t \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{jk}^{-1} (\mathbf{v} - \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jk})\right) \right)
$$

Modeled as a mixture of multivariate Gaussian distributions

$$
p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{P(B)}
$$

- – For continuous and infinite observation (Cont.)
	- Define a new variable $\gamma_t(j,k)$

 $(j)\beta_{t}(j)$

j j

t t

 $\alpha_i(j)\beta_i$

 $=\left|\frac{\sum\limits_{s=1}^N\alpha_s(s)\beta_t(s)}{\sum\limits_{s=1}^N\alpha_s(s)\beta_t(s)}\right|\sum\limits_{m=1}^M$

 $t \, V^{\prime} \, I^{\prime} t$

 $\alpha_{t}(s)\beta_{t}$

İ L *N*

s

 \lceil

......

 $(s)\beta_{t}(s)$

 $1 \t m=1$

s s

İ I

 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\sqrt{}$

M

m

┐

 $p(\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j)$

 $\gamma_t(j,k)$ is the probability of being in state *j* at time *t* with the *k*-th mixture component accounting for *ot*

$$
\gamma_{t}(j,k) = P(s_{t} = j, m_{t} = k | \mathbf{O}, \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= P(s_{t} = j | \mathbf{O}, \lambda) P(m_{t} = k | s_{t} = j, \mathbf{O}, \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= \gamma_{t}(j) P(m_{t} = k | s_{t} = j, \mathbf{O}, \lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= \gamma_{t}(j) \frac{p(m_{t} = k, \mathbf{O} | s_{t} = j, \lambda)}{p(\mathbf{O} | s_{t} = j, \lambda)}
$$
\n
$$
= \gamma_{t}(j) \frac{P(m_{t} = k | s_{t} = j, \lambda) p(\mathbf{O} | s_{t} = j, m_{t} = k, \lambda)}{p(\mathbf{O} | s_{t} = j, \lambda)}
$$

 $\binom{p(m_t = k | s_t = j, \lambda)p(\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j, m_t = k, \lambda)}{p(\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j, \lambda)}$

 t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t} t^{t}

 $p(m_t = k | s_t = j, \lambda) p(o_t | s_t = j, m_t = k)$

 $\gamma_t(j) \frac{P(m_t = k | s_t = j, \lambda) p(\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j, m_t = k, \lambda)}{(\lambda - \lambda) p(\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j, m_t = k, \lambda)}$

t t

 $\mathbf{o}_t | s_t = j, \lambda$

c N

c N

 $\left(\! {\bf o}_t ; {\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{ik}, {\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{ik} \right)$

; μ $_{ik}$,

 $\mathbf{0}_i$; $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{ik}$, Σ

,

 $, I_{\nu}$, $| \nu_{\mu} | \nu_{\mu} - 1, \ldots | \nu_{\mu} - 1,$

(observation -independent assumption is applied)

 jm^{1} ^{*v*} $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{\mu}_{jm}, \mathbf{\omega}_{jm})$

; μ $_{im}$,

 $\mathbf{0}_j$; $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{lm}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

 jk^{T} $(\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{\mu}_{jk}, \mathbf{\mu}_{jk})$

 $\left(\! {\bf o}_{{\scriptscriptstyle t}}^{}; {\boldsymbol \mu}_{{\scriptscriptstyle j} m}^{} , \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{{\scriptscriptstyle j} m}^{} \right) \Big|$

 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$

1

 $\overline{}$

Distribution for State 1

Note:
$$
\gamma_t(j) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_t(j,m)
$$

SP - Berlin Chen 52

For continuous and infinite observation (Cont.)

$$
\overline{c}_{jk} = \frac{\text{expected number of times in state } j \text{ and mixture } k}{\text{expected number of times in state } j} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j,k)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \gamma_t(j,m)}
$$

 (j,k) $\frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma}\gamma_{_I}\!\left(j,k\right)$ Σ Ξ Ξ \cdot = weighted average (mean) of observations at state *f* and mixture $\kappa = \frac{1}{T}$ $t = 1$ T weighted average (mean) of observations at state j and mixture $k = \frac{t}{100}$ *j,k j,k j k t t t jk* γ $\overline{\mu}_{ik}$ = weighted average (mean) of observations at state *j* and mixture $k = \frac{\sum_i \gamma_i (j, k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}}{T}$

$$
\overline{\Sigma}_{jk} = \text{weighted covariance of observations at state } j \text{ and mixture } k
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j,k) \cdot (\mathbf{o}_t - \overline{\mu}_{jk})(\mathbf{o}_t - \overline{\mu}_{jk})}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j,k)}
$$

Formulae for Single Training Utterance

•Multiple Training Utterances

–For continuous and infinite observation (Cont.)

> (j,k) $\sum_{l} \sum_{l}^{l} \gamma_l^{l}(j,k)$ $\sum \sum \gamma_t^l(j,k)$. = weighted average (mean) of observations at state *j* and mixture $k = \frac{l-1}{l}$ *L* $\sum^T_l \gamma^l_t$ *L l T* $\sum_{t=1}^{t_1} \gamma_t^l(j,k) \cdot \mathbf{o}_t$ *t* j_k = weighted average (fileali) of observations at state *f* and filixture $\kappa = \frac{1}{L} \frac{T_l}{T_l}$ *l j k j k j* and mixture $k = \frac{l-1}{l} \cdot \frac{t-1}{l}$, weighted average (mean) of observations at state j and mixture γ $\gamma_t^{\epsilon}(J,k)$ **o μ** (j,k) *^γ j,m γ j,k j* $\overline{c}_{jk} = \frac{\text{expected number of times in state } j \text{ and mixture } k}{\text{expected number of times in state } i} = \frac{L}{L}$ *lT t* $\sum\limits_{l}^{M}\gamma_{t}^{l}$ *mL l T t l t* $jk =$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{L}}}}$ **expected number of times in state** *i l* ΣΣΣ ΣΣ $=\frac{e^{i\phi}$ before namely of three in state functions in the set of $\frac{f}{f} = \frac{f}{f}$ — I *t* — ≡ $1 t=1 m=1$ Expected number of times in state *j* and inixture $k = \frac{l-1}{l} \frac{l-1}{l}$
expected number of times in state *j* expected number of times in state j and mixture = expected frequency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_1^i(i)$ *L l* \sum_{i} = expected frequency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \gamma_1^l (i)$ $\overline{\pi}_i$ = expected frequency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t=1) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_i$ (i,j) $\gamma_t^l(i)$ *ξ i,j i* $\overline{a}_{ij} = \frac{\text{expected number of transition from state } i \text{ to state } j}{\text{expected number of transition from state } i} = \frac{\overline{L}}{L}$ *l* $\sum_{l}^{T_{l}-1} \gamma_{t}^{l}$ *tL l T t l t* $i(j)$ $\overline{}$ ΣΣ $=\frac{e^{i\phi}+$ ≡ 11 11 1 expected number of transition from state to state $\frac{1}{L}$ = $\frac{1}{L}$ = $\frac{1}{L}$ = $\frac{T_1}{T_2}$ expected number of transition from state *i* to state

 $(j,k)\cdot\left(\mathbf{o}_t-\overline{\mathbf{\mu}}_{jk}\right)\left(\mathbf{o}_t-\overline{\mathbf{\mu}}_{jk}\right)$ $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{l} f(t_i;k)$ $\sum \sum \gamma_t^i (j,k) \cdot (\mathbf{o}_t - \overline{\mathbf{\mu}}_{ik}) \mathbf{o}_t -$ ≡ Σ_{jk} = weighted covariance of observations at state *j* and mixture *k* $=1$ t= $\frac{1}{L}$ *lT tl t L l T t* $\frac{d}{dt} (j,k) \cdot (\mathbf{o}_t - \overline{\mathbf{\mu}}_{jk}) (\mathbf{o}_t - \overline{\mathbf{\mu}}_{jk})^t$ *l l j k j k* $1 t=1$ $1 t=1$, , γ $\gamma_t^{\mu}(I,K) \cdot \mathbf{[0]}_t = \mathbf{\mu}_{ik} \mathbf{[0]}_t = \mathbf{\mu}_{ik}$

Formulae for Multiple (L) Training Utterances

═

,

t

 $1 t=1$

l

For discrete and finite observation (cont.)

= expected frequency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_1^{i} (i)$ = *L l* \sum_{i} = expected frequency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i}^L \gamma_1^i (i)$ *Li* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \gamma_1^l$ $\overline{\pi}_i$ = expected freqency (number of times) in state *i* at time $(t = 1) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_i$

$$
\overline{b}_j(\mathbf{v}_k) = \overline{P}(\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v}_k | s = j) = \frac{\text{expected number of times in state } j \text{ and observing symbol } \mathbf{v}_k}{\text{expected number of times in state } j} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T_l} \gamma_t^l(j)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{t=1}^{T_l} \gamma_t^l(j)}
$$

Formulae for Multiple (L) Training Utterances

Semicontinuous HMMs

- The HMM state mixture density functions are tied together across all the models to form a set of shared kernels
	- The semicontinuous or tied-mixture HMM

$$
b_j(\boldsymbol{o}) = \sum_{k=1}^M b_j(k) f(\boldsymbol{o}|v_k) = \sum_{k=1}^M b_j(k) N(\boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)
$$

state output Probability of state *j*

k-th mixture weight t of state *j* (discrete, model-dependent) *k*-th mixture density function or *k*-th codeword (shared across HMMs, *M* is very large)

– A combination of the discrete HMM and the continuous HMM

- A combination of *discrete* model-dependent weight coefficients and *continuous* model-independent codebook probability density functions
- Because *M* is large, we can simply use the *L* most significant values $f\left(\boldsymbol{o}|v_{_{k}}\right)$
	- Experience showed that *L* is *1~3%* of *M* is adequate
- Partial tying of $f(\boldsymbol{o}|v_k)$ for different phonetic class

HMM Topology

- • Speech is time-evolving non-stationary signal
	- Each HMM state has the ability to capture some quasi-stationary segment in the non-stationary speech signal
	- A *left-to-right* topology is a natural candidate to model the speech signal (also called the "beads-on-a-string" model)

Figure 8.8 A typical hidden Markov model used to model phonemes. There are three states (0-2) and each state has an associated output probability distribution.

 It is general to represent a phone using 3~5 states (English) and a syllable using 6~8 states (Mandarin Chinese)

Initialization of HMM

- \bullet A good initialization of HMM training : Segmental K-Means Segmentation into States
	- Assume that we have a training set of observations and an initial estimate of all model parameters
	- Step 1 : The set of training observation sequences is segmented into states, based on the initial model (finding the optimal state sequence by *Viterbi* Algorithm)
	- Step 2 :
		- For discrete density HMM (using M-codeword codebook)

 $\overline{b}_i(k) = \frac{\text{the number of vectors with codebook index } k \text{ in state } j}{k}$ the number of vectors in state *i* $f_j(k) = \frac{\text{the number of vectors with codebook index } k \text{ in state}}{\text{the number of vectors in state } i}$ $=$

• For continuous density HMM (M Gaussian mixtures per state)

 \Rightarrow cluster the observation vectors within each state *j* into a set of M clusters

 \overline{w}_{j_m} = number of vectors classified in cluster *m* of state *j*

divided by the number of vectors in state *j*

 $\overline{\mu}_{j_m}$ = sample mean of the vectors classified in cluster *m* of state *j*

 \sum_{jm} = sample covariance matrix of the vectors classified in cluster *m* of state *j*

– Step 3: Evaluate the model score If the difference between the previous and current model scores is greater than a threshold, go back to Step 1, otherwise stop, the initial model is generated

Initialization of HMM (cont.)

Initialization of HMM (cont.)

- An example for discrete HMM
	- 3 states and 2 codeword

v 2

- $b_2(v_1)$ =1/3, $b_2(v_2)$ =2/3
- $b_3(v_1) = 2/3$, $b_3(v_2) = 1/3$

 $\mathtt{s}_\mathtt{1}$

 $_{1})\rightarrow(S_{2})\rightarrow(S_{3})$

Initialization of HMM (cont.)

- An example for Continuous HMM
	- 3 states and 4 Gaussian mixtures per state

SP - Berlin Chen 63

 $\mathtt{s}_\mathtt{1}$

 $_{1})\rightarrow(S_{2})\rightarrow(S_{3})$

Known Limitations of HMMs (1/3)

- \bullet The assumptions of conventional HMMs in Speech **Processing**
	- The state duration follows an exponential distribution
		- Don't provide adequate representation of the temporal structure of speech

 $d_i(t) = a_{ii}^{t-1}(1 - a_{ii})$ $= a_{ii}$ ⁻(1 – *a* $^{-1}$ (1 1

- **First-order (Markov) assumption:** the state transition depends only on the origin and destination
- **Output-independent assumption:** all observation frames are dependent on the state that generated them, not on neighboring observation frames

Researchers have proposed a number of techniques to address these limitations, albeit these solution have not significantly improved speech recognition accuracy for practical applications.

Known Limitations of HMMs (2/3)

 \bullet Duration modeling

Duration distributions for the seventh state of the word "seven:" empirical distribution (solid line); Gauss fit (dashed line); gamma fit (dotted line); and (d) geometric fit (dash-dot line).

Known Limitations of HMMs (3/3)

 \bullet The HMM parameters trained by the Baum-Welch algorithm (or EM algorithm) were only locally optimized

